



GP/2812
#4 / Supp
Declaration
11-27-02
Rshubs

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Cheng-Lien Chiang
Assignee: Bridge Semiconductor Corporation
Title: METHOD OF MAKING A SEMICONDUCTOR PACKAGE
DEVICE
Serial No.: 10/059,686 Filed: January 29, 2002
Examiner: Unknown Group Art Unit: 2812
Atty. Docket No.: BDG005-1

RECEIVED
APR - 2 2002
TO 2800 MAIL ROOM

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
APR 01 2002
Technology Center 2600

SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION

A Supplemental Declaration is attached.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on March 9, 2002.

David M. Sigmond

David M. Sigmond
Attorney for Applicant

3/9/02
Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

David M. Sigmond

David M. Sigmond
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 34,013
(303) 554-8371
(303) 554-8667 (fax)



#4

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe that, I am the original, first and sole inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **METHOD OF MAKING A SEMICONDUCTOR PACKAGE DEVICE**, the specification of which was filed as U.S. Application Serial No. 10/059,686 on January 29, 2002 and was amended by the Preliminary Amendment attached thereto.

I hereby declare that the subject matter of the Preliminary Amendment was part of my invention and was invented before the filing date of the above-identified application for such invention.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by the Preliminary Amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56(a)-(b) as set forth on the attached sheet at Page 3 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or 365(a)-(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate(s) or PCT international application(s) which designate at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate(s) or PCT international application(s) having a filing date before that of the application(s) on which priority is claimed:

<u>Prior Foreign Application(s)</u>	<u>Priority Claimed</u>
<u>Number</u> <u>Country</u> <u>Month/Day/Year Filed</u>	<u>Yes</u> <u>No</u>
N/A	

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) of any United States application(s) and any PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR §§ 1.56(a)-(b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

<u>Application Serial No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Status: patented, pending, abandoned</u>
10/042,812	January 9, 2002	Pending

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

<u>Application Serial No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
N/A	

RECEIVED
APR - 2 2002
TO 2800 MAIL ROOM

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

1) Inventor's Signature Cheng-Lien Chiang Date March 3, 2002

Inventor's Name (typed): Cheng-Lien Chiang

Citizenship: Taiwan

Residence: 4th Floor, #98, Lane 85, Lin-Sen North Road
Taipei, Taiwan 104

Post Office Address: Same as Residence

37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56(a)-(b)
DUTY TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION MATERIAL
TO PATENTABILITY

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability.

Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

(2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

(1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or

(2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:

(i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or

(ii) Asserting an argument of a patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.*

*Note, 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(h) states: "The filing of an information disclosure statement shall not be construed to be an admission that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56(b)."